

Grumman Corporation

## CRITICAL ITEMS LIST

GRUMMAN

ASSEMBLY Nomenclature: MANIPULATOR FOOT RESTRAINT

PREPARED BY: L.HAHN &amp; F.PERAZZO

REPORT NO: HAF 67 R 8

REVISION: A

DATE: 17 MAY 1988

FMEA REF	NAME, QTY & DRAWING REF DESIGNATION	CRIT	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
F2 A	<p>Hand Hold Assembly (HHA) (containing retainers for tool boards)</p> <p>QTY (1)</p> <p>Dwg C05-010</p>	2/2	<p>F2 - Latch jammed in notch of latching mechanism due to contamination or galling</p>	<p><u>END ITEM</u> HHA locked in one position; unable to stow vertical stanchion and, consequently, MFR.</p> <p><u>GFE INTERFACE</u> None, since MFR will be jettisoned</p> <p><u>MISSION</u> Loss of MFR; unable to accomplish subsequent mission objectives</p> <p><u>CREW / VEHICLE</u> None</p>	<p><u>A. Design</u> Materials per tables 1 &amp; 2 of MSFC-SPEC-522A are certified for traceability/quality. Anodic hardcoating per MIL-A-6625C on alum interfaces with relative motion minimizes galling and wear. Contamination caused by corrosion by-products eliminated by extensive use of thermal control coating and solid (Moly di-sulfide) lubricant coating.</p>

PROGRESSIVE  
DESIGN

Grumman Corporation

PAGE 2 OF 34

GRUMMAN

ASSEMBLY Nomenclature: MANIPULATOR FOOT RESTRAINT

ASSEMBLY PART NO.: 860 321401-10

## CRITICAL ITEMS LIST

PREPARED BY: L. HAHN &amp; F. PERAZZO

REPORT NO: 860-321401-10

REVISION A-B

DATE: 9 MAY 1988

FMEA REF	NAME, QTY & DRAWING REF DESIGNATION	CRT	FAILURE MODE AND CAUSE	FAILURE EFFECT	RATIONALE FOR ACCEPTANCE
F2 A	<p>Hand Held Assembly (HHA) (containing restraints for tool boards)</p> <p>QTY (1)</p> <p>Dwg C95-110</p>	2/2	<p>F2 - Latch jammed in notch of holding mechanism due to contamination or galling</p>	<p><b>END ITEM</b> HHA locked in one position; unable to stow vertical stanchion and, consequently, MFR.</p> <p><b>CREW INTERFACE</b> None, since MFR will be jettisoned</p> <p><b>MISSION</b> Loss of MFR; unable to accomplish subsequent mission objectives</p> <p><b>CREW / VEHICLE</b> None</p>	<p><b>B. TEST HISTORY</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Acceptance test per procedure 380-3164 at Grumman (7/7/83) before and after all tests. ATP includes functional tests of all operating functions and a general visual inspection.</li> <li>2. Suitness test per procedure 380-101-03 at Grumman (7/7/83). Demonstrated stanchion end play less than .5 inch lateral and 2 inches longitudinal for 1 hundred pound loads.</li> <li>3. Vibration and shock test per procedure 380-98-04 at Grumman (7/7/83). Demonstrated ability to withstand design levels without structural failure with no significant resonance. Several screens required the application of torque.</li> <li>4. APCMF ultimate load tests per STS03-0544 at Rockwell (9/83). Loads applied in 16 steps, each comprising 10% of limit load was held at the ultimate load of 14 x limit.</li> <li>5. Thermal vacuum test at JSC (7/29/84). MFR was operated at ambient temperature, plus 224 and -137 F (average lowest achievable chamber temp) at an average vacuum of .00005 torr.</li> <li>6. Centrifuge gravity test at JSC (10/2/84).</li> <li>7. Moment of inertia scaling test at JSC (V/85).</li> </ul> <p><b>C. INSPECTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. NAVFROD inspects all production end items at completion of final assembly.</li> <li>2. Anodized hard coated aluminum parts inspected for compliance to MIL-A-9625 C by DCAS. Certificate of compliance on file at Grumman 6thpage.</li> <li>3. Thermal Control Coating process is controlled by inspection, post paint, cure, post coating and cure, and sample testing for coating thickness, coating adhesion, and enhancement absorpti-</li> </ul> <p><b>D. FAILURE HISTORY</b></p> <p>None (per PRMCA database). The MFR has been successfully utilized on five missions, STS 1, 13, 51A, 51B, and 60C.</p> <p><b>E. TURNAROUND</b></p> <p>Inspection per 528/PFA-95001/NRC 10 DEC 847 includes a functional test of all MFR operating functions and a general visual inspection.</p> <p><b>F. OPERATIONAL USE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Operational Effect of Failure - MFR could not be restrained. It possibly could not be used on a second EVA if it had to be jettisoned.</li> <li>2. Crew Action - none</li> <li>3. Crew Training - none</li> <li>4. Mission Constraints - none</li> <li>5. In Flight Checkout - Operation of Hand Held Assembly will be checked out at time of use</li> </ul>